Statistical Methods for Omics Data

Agus Salim, Ph.D

Big Data Analytics: Application to Modern Genetics Universitas Jember, 23 Dec 2015



Agus Salim, Ph.D Statistical Methods for Omics Data

- It all begins with a small sample size....
- Omics technology and data generation are expensive; most researchers can only afford small sample size (less than 10 is common).
- Why do we need to worry?
- For a start, there is often not enough variability in small sample.

Underestimation of SD: Example

- We simulate data for 10000 genes, each with *n* = 3 subjects
- Data for each gene is Normally-distributed with

$$\mu = \mathbf{0}, \sigma = \mathbf{1}$$

• This is the boxplot of log-SD across 10000 genes. What can you see?



Now, see what happen when we increase the number of subjects n



There are much less extreme SD (especially very small ones) when n is large.

Underestimation of SD: Impact

- Extremely small SD can give rise to falsely large test-statistic
- Example: with one-sample t-test, we reject H_0 if test-stat $\geq c$, where c is a percentile from theoretical distribution.

$$rac{\sqrt{n}(ar{x}-\mu_0)}{SD_x}$$

• Hence, small SD will lead to increasing chance of rejecting *H*₀ unnecessarily (increasing FDR).

- One way to stabilize the SD would be by putting prior distribution on the (function of) variance parameters.
- Let us denote σ_g^2 as the variance for gene g, Smyth (2003) assumed prior information on $\frac{1}{\sigma^2}$ using scaled χ^2 distribution,

$$rac{1}{\sigma_{g}^2}\simrac{1}{d_0s_0^2}\chi_{d_0}^2$$

• So that the posterior mean of σ_g^2 is given by

$$ilde{s}_g^2 = rac{d_0 s_0^2 + d_g s_g^2}{d_0 + d_g}$$

where s_g^2 is the sample variance for gene g and d_g is the associated degree of freedom.

We can see that if d₀ = 0 then this 'moderated' variance will be the same as the sample variance. However when d₀ ≠ 0, the variance estimate will be moderated, especially if sample variance is small relative to s₀².

Stabilizing small SD: moderated t-test

- First, we need to estimate d_0 and s_0^2 empirically from the data; Smyth (2003) developed an Empirical Bayesian approach for this where the hyper-parameters are estimated using Method of Moment (MoM).
- Given the estimates of d_0 and s_0^2 , the moderated t-test statistic is given by,

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{x}_g - \mu_0)}{\sqrt{\tilde{s}_g^2}}$$

• We will try this approach during the next Lab session

Problem with small sample size: Regression

- Let us assume we have n subjects and p genes and the data are stored in X(n × p) matrix, n ≪ p and the outcome of interest is y(n × 1).
- Suppose we want to regress y with gene expression data as covariate,

$$y = X\beta + \epsilon$$

- Usually, we would use OLS to estimate β , $\hat{\beta}_{OLS} = (X'X)^{-1}X'y$
- But since n ≪ p, we cannot obtain the estimate as (X'X) is not of full rank and the inverse does not exist.

Problem with small sample size: Classification

- Suppose that y is binary with two class (0/1) and we want to classify subjects based on their gene expression data (very popular!)
- Usually, we will perform either logistic regression or linear discriminant analysis (LDA)
- Let's proceed with LDA this time
- Remember, we want to be able to classify well, so looking to find linear function of *X*, *Xa* that maximizes the between-class variance *B* relative to the within-class variance *W*.

$$max \frac{a'Ba}{a'Wa}$$

 It can be shown that the linear combination vector a is given by the first eigenvector of W⁻¹B matrix.



• But if $n \ll p$, W^{-1} does not exist!

Penalized Regression for small sample size

• When we do regression, we minimize residual sum of square (RSS) to estimate β

$$RSS(\beta) = (y - X\beta)'(y - X\beta)$$

- For reasons outlined before, this minimization is not possible when n ≪ p, as the number of parameters to be estimated will be more than the sample size.
- However, we can seek to minimize the penalized RSS instead,

$$pRSS(\beta) = (y - X\beta)'(y - X\beta) + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{p} |\beta_i|$$

Penalized Regression for small sample size

- It turns out that the pRSS above is equivalent to assuming prior distribution on β_i
- Park and Casella (2008) showed that the prior distribution for β_j in this case is a Laplace distribution with parameter λ.



Penalized Regression for small sample size

- The intuitive idea: for large λ, some of the β_j will be forced to zero, hence reducing the number of parameters to be estimated.
- If small size is moderate, other prior (e.g., Normal) can also be used which will lead to ridge regression

$$pRSS(\beta) = (y - X\beta)'(y - X\beta) + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{p} \beta_j^2$$

• The optimal λ is usually selected via cross-validation

- Model built using small sample is more prone to overfitting and contain higher amount of optimism when used for making prediction
- Cross-validation must always be carried out to gauge the amount of optimism.

Other issues: normality assumption & permutation-based tests

- With small sample, normality assumption is not going to be valid as Central Limit Theorem (CLT) approximation will be off.
- If (computationally) feasible, permutation-based tests must be carried out
- The idea behind permutation-based is very simple:
 - Compute the test-statistic using the observed dataset
 - **2** Permute the data structure as if H_0 is true
 - Ompute the test-statistic for this permuted dataset
 - Repeat 2-3 B number of times (B should be at least 10000)
 - Compute the p-value as the proportion of test-statistics from permuted datasets that are at least as large as the observed test-statistic

- Friedman J, Hastie T and Tibshirani R. (2008) Regularization Paths for Generalized Linear Models via Coordinate Descent. *Journal of Statistical Software* **33**: 1-22.
- Smyth GK. (2004). Linear models and empirical bayes methods for assessing differential expression in microarray experiments. *Stat Appl Genet Mol Biol.* **3**:3
- Zou H, Hastie, T (2005). Regularization and Variable Selection via the Elastic Net. *JRSSS B* 301-320.